

Topic, Focus, and Wh-Phrases in Cham and Moken

Kenneth Baclawski

It has been taken as axiomatic that *wh*-phrases are (A) categorically not topics (e.g. Kiss 1998) and (B) always carry focus (see references in Cable 2008). In this paper, I will explore data that challenge both of these ideas from three related Austronesian languages: Eastern Cham, Western Cham, and Moken, where information structure acts orthogonally to *wh*-fronting. Along the way, I illustrate how multiple genres of text collection, from narratives to semi-spontaneous discourse and elicitation are all needed to account for these phenomena (cf. Mithun 2014). Additionally, I show the benefit of incorporating data from multiple closely related languages and dialects (cf. Kaisse 2014).

Eastern Cham, Western Cham, and Moken are all isolating, SVO, *wh*-in-situ languages spoken in Vietnam, Cambodia, and Thailand, respectively.¹ In Eastern Cham, information structure is marked by two fronting constructions: a topic-like construction (“topic” in the Cham literature, cf. Brunelle & Vãn Hãn 2015) and an identificational focus cleft (Kiss 1998, Aissen 2015). *Wh*-phrases may appear in both constructions (1a) or in-situ (1b).

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>(1a) <i>kě̀t</i> // <i>thay hu plây</i>²
 what who FOC buy
 ‘Who is it that bought what?’</p> | <p>(1b) <i>thay plây kě̀t</i>
 who buy what
 ‘Who bought what?’ [Eastern Cham]</p> |
|---|--|

To address claim (A), I turn to narrative and semi-spontaneous discourse data, which shows that the topic-like construction marks discourse anaphora (as per López 2009’s account of clitic dislocation in Romance), in the absence of any other topic construction. As for claim (B), nearly identical data from Western Cham confirms that the topic-like construction occupies a functional projection (overtly marked by *kiŋ*). This proves that *wh*-phrases may mark both topic and focus (2). Lastly, data from Moken shows what occurs when there is an active *wh*-feature (marked by =*la*:). Superiority effects obtain (cf. Stepanov, Fanselow & Vogel 2004) (3a), but *wh*-phrases may still occupy both the topic and focus slots (3b).

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <p>(2) <i>haget kiŋ say hu blay</i>
 what TOP who FOC buy
 ‘Who is it that bought what?’ [Western Cham]</p> | <p>(3a) <i>*aca:=la ano:=la: nə mane?</i>
 who=Q what=Q FOC ask
 Intended: ‘What is it that who asked?’</p> | <p>(3b) <i>ano:=la: olan nə? mətok</i>
 what=Q snake FOC bite
 ‘It is the snake that bit what?’ [Moken]</p> |
|---|---|---|

Taken together, this data encourages the study of information structural phenomena as unitary before incorporating similar, but potentially orthogonal phenomena like *wh*-fronting (Cable 2008). Eastern Cham, Western Cham, and Moken all demonstrate dramatic decoupling of the two.

1. Data for this paper come from the author's fieldwork with four native speakers of Eastern Cham, one native speaker of Western Cham, and a corpus of Moken data from Peter Jenks' fieldwork (see, Jenks 2008), compiled by the author.
2. Note the pause that separates Eastern Cham dislocated constituents, but not foci; writing system is IPA, with grave accents marking low, breathy tone.

References

- Aissen, Judith. 2015. "Documenting topic and focus." Workshop at the *4th International Conference on Language Documentation & Conservation (ICLDC)*, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa.
- Baumgartner, Neil I. 1998. "A Grammar Sketch of Western (Cambodian) Cham." In David Thomas [ed.], *Papers in Southeast Asian linguistics 15: Further Chamic Studies*. Pacific Linguistics A-89: 1-20.
- Brunelle, Marc & Phú Văn Hãn. 2015. "Colloquial Eastern Cham." In Paul Sidwell & Matthias Jenny [eds.], *The Languages of Southeast Asia*. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Cable, Seth. 2010. *The Grammar of Q*. Oxford University Press.
- Stepanov, Arthur, Gisbert Fanselow & Ralf Vogel [eds.]. 2004. *Minimality effects in syntax*. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Jenks, Peter. 2008. "Clefts and Wh-questions in Moken." Manuscript.
<http://linguistics.berkeley.edu/~jenks/Research_files/Jenks-MokenWh.pdf>
- Kaisse, Ellen. 2014. "Dialects of Spanish and Modern Greek: natural laboratories for the generative phonologist." Presidential address at the *88th Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America*, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Kiss, Katalin É. 1998. "Multiple Topic, One Focus?" *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 45.1-2: 3-29.
- López, Luis. 2009. *A derivational syntax for information structure*. Oxford University Press.
- Mithun, Marianne. 2014. "The data and the examples: Comprehensiveness accuracy, and sensitivity." In Toshihide Nakayama & Keren Rice [eds.], *The Art and Practice of Grammar Writing*. Language Documentation & Conservation Special Publication 8.