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1. Introduction

Jeli or Jeri is an endangered Central Mande language still spoken by less than 2000 speakers in the north of the Ivory Coast, that is, in Korhogo and surrounding areas (for a detailed list of villages with estimated number of Jeli speakers, cf. Kastenholz 2001). Jeli belongs to the Manding-Jɔŋɔ branch within the Central Mande languages (cf. Kastenholz 1996: 70f.). Its nearest relative is Jɔŋɔ (“Ligbi”) spoken in Ghana (Brong-Ahafo Region) and some settlements in neighbouring areas of Ivory Coast (Bondoukou, Bouna).

In contrast to other Central Mande languages such as Jɔŋɔ, Koranko, Bambara, Vai and Yalunka, the concepts of class-membership (‘Musa is a hunter’) and property assignment (‘Musa is president’) on the one hand and equation (‘Musa is the winner’, ‘Musa is my father’) on the other are formally differentiated in Jeli. Whereas class-membership and property assignment are expressed by a copula and a postpositional phrase containing a predicate nominal and a postposition (i.e., kɔŋ or rɛ), the predicate nominal in nominal sentences expressing equational function is linked to the subject only by a copula, but is not further marked by a postposition.

The purpose of this paper is threefold.

1. Data on identificational clauses (with special reference to class-membership and property assignment) in Jeli are presented and discussed within the framework of the functional-typological approach (Sections 2 and 3).

2. The polysemy pattern of the two postpositions involved in marking class-membership and property assignment are investigated in terms of metaphorical extensions and iconic motivation (Section 4).

¹ The present article is based on a paper read at the 3rd International Conference on Mande Languages and Linguistics, Paris, September 14-17, 2011. The paper was presented during the workshop on “Identification and related functions” organised by Denis Creissels.
3. By exploring the range of meanings associated with postpositions used to express class-membership in Jeli the empirical base for a typology of “identificational” postpositions in the Mande languages should be broaden.

2. Theoretical preliminaries

2.1 Semantic subtypes of identificational sentences


In the first type “a certain property such as group membership (class-inclusion) or a positive or negative quality is predicated of a referential subject in the form of a non-referential predicate noun” (Sasse 2007: 28). This semantic subtype (for example: ‘Madu is a hunter’, ‘Madu is communist’) is called “property assignment”, “class-inclusion”, “proper inclusion”, “class-membership” or “ascriptive type” in the literature (cf. Dik 1989: 180, Payne 2007: 114, Hengeveld 1992: 110f.).

The second type, named “equative type”, asserts “that a particular entity (the subject of the clause) is identical to the entity specified in the predicate nominal” (Payne 1997: 114). This type can by exemplified by sentences such as ‘Madu is my father’ or ‘Madu is the winner’.2

It must, however, be kept in mind that in many languages the equative type (having definite predicate nominals) can oscillate between a specificational and an ascriptive (characterizational) reading. As has been shown by Sasse (2007: 29), this is especially the case when the predicate nominal is a possessive phrase such as ‘my father’ in ‘Ali is my father’. In this example, the specificational reading is indicated if “Ali is the one that has to be identified with”, whereas the same sentence has an ascriptive reading, if it is understood as “Ali has the property of being in a paternal relationship of me” (Sasse 2007: 29).

2.2 Conceptual transfer and encoding strategies of identificational sentences

In his typological study “Intransitive predication” Stassen (1997) discusses different sets of encoding mechanisms for intransitive predicates, i.e., event, class-membership, location and property concepts in the languages of the world (his sample contains 410 languages). Moreover, Stassen proposes a set of formal and semantic principles in order to account for the variation of intransitive predicates across languages.

2 The terminology for constructions involving predicate nominals is thus quite varied and highly confusing. We use “identificational sentences” as a cover term comprising two major subgroups: “class-membership” (rather than “class-inclusion” or “proper inclusion”) and “equation” (rather than “identificational clause”).
As regards the encoding of class-membership predicates, Stassen (1997: 111-113) argues that the use of the equational strategy seems the ‘natural’ option for the encoding of class-membership predicates. This argumentation is motivated by the semantic concept of “iconicity” (“which states, wherever possible, form will mirror function”, Stassen 1997: 113). Thus, the close semantic relation (sometimes resulting in ambiguity) between class-membership predications and equational sentences can be held responsible for the unique encoding of class-membership and equation in many languages of the world.

However, opposed to this general concept of “iconicity”, language structure may also be shaped by a general principle of “structural economy”, called by Stassen (1997: 112) “The Economy Principle of Predicate Encoding: Languages tend to minimise the number of different surface patterns in the encoding of their intransitive sentences”. This formal principle accounts for a uniform pattern for locational, class-membership and event clauses.

For at least some languages, the ‘desire’ to create a uniform surface pattern for all intransitive sentences may be stronger than the ‘desire’ to maintain semantic transparency. (Stassen 1997: 112)

Moreover, on the basis of the localistic interpretation of the concept of predication, the unique structural features of the encoding of class-membership and event predicates across languages can be explained by tracing them back to features of the locational strategy. Thus, according to the framework of localism, predication, i.e. the association of a particular, individual entity with semantic classes of predicates such as class-membership, events and properties can be conceived “as location of an entity into a space which is defined by the predicate” (Stassen 1997: 15).

[...] there exists a tradition of a LOCALISTIC interpretation of the concept of predication, in which events, properties, and classes are seen as ‘places’ at which (the referent of) the subject comes to be situated. [...] Thus, we can distinguish between predicates which situate an entity in a CONCRETE spatial location and predicates which assign an ABSTRACT, non-physical and non–spatial, location to their subjects. (Stassen 1997: 15)

3. Identificational sentences in Jeli

As stated before, the concepts of class-membership and equation are formally differentiated in Jeli. Class-membership predicates are expressed by a copula and a

\[ \text{For a description of one-argument identificational (presentational) clauses (NS + sùŋ), cf. Tröbs (1998: 175ff.).} \]
postpositional phrase containing a non-referential\(^4\) predicate nominal and a locative postposition. Two locative postpositions are used in the marking of class-membership predicates, i.e., the inessive Pp \(k\dot{\eta}\) and the adessive Pp \(r\dot{e}\). These two postpositions are used interchangeably, as shown in examples (1) and (2).\(^5\)

CLASS-MEMBERSHIP / PROPERTY ASSIGNMENT

NS + â + NS + \(k\dot{\eta}\) / \(r\dot{e}\); predicate nominal is non-referential

\[(1) \quad \text{è tà=na gbïra si } k\dot{\eta} / r\dot{e} \quad 2\text{PL FOC=PL.COP stupid.person FOC Pp} \]

‘You are stupid people.’

\[(2) \quad \text{nàa jëli si } k\dot{\eta} / r\dot{e} \quad 1\text{SG.COP Jeli FOC Pp} \]

‘I am a Jeli.’

Since class-membership predicates in Jeli are always marked by a locative postposition, they are metaphorically interpreted as having a spatial dimension, i.e., as an interior when used with the Pp \(k\dot{\eta}\) or as a place when used with the Pp \(r\dot{e}\). Class-membership sentences in Jeli thus locate someone in or at a class or group (‘I am in / at the group of Jeli’). In Anderson’s terms (1971: 207), class-membership predicate nominals are “a subtype of locative”.

The concept of equation, however, is expressed in Jeli by a copula and a bare nominal. The predicate nominal is not further marked by a postposition, as shown in examples (3) and (4). Thus, no localistic interpretation can be suggested.

EQUATION

NS + â + NS; predicate nominal is referential

\[(3) \quad \text{kpùru-kara-mugo=ni sà à tà=ni} \quad \text{leather-sew-man.DEF=PL FOC.COP 1PL FOC=PL} \]

‘We are the leatherworkers.’

\(^4\)Referentiality (involving discourse referentiality and definiteness, cf. Tröbs 1998: 106-111, Payne 1997: 263-266) is indicated by the definite marker –\(\circ\). It either “leans on” the preceding noun or replaces the final vowel of the preceding noun if the noun constitutes the only element of the nominal phrase.

\(^5\)The definite marker –\(\circ\) and the plural marker –\(ni\) are considered to be enclitics. In contrast to suffixes, they do not exhibit a high degree of selection with respect to their stems but, syntactically, attach to the borders of a nominal phrase.

The copula / imperfective auxiliary –à is also treated as an enclitic, since its host is not a specific kind of stem but a specific phrasal node, i.e., the subject NP (cf. Klamer 1994: 50 for pronominal cliticisation in Kambera). Moreover, the copula / imperfective auxiliary –à cannot stand on its own in a normal utterance. Finally, the copula / imperfective auxiliary –à often merges with the preceding element.
To summarize, on the basis of the localistic interpretation of the concept of predication, the structural features of the encoding of class-membership predicates in Jeli can be explained by tracing them back to features of the locational strategy. The same can be assumed for intransitive event predicates by taking their possible diachronic developments into account, cf. (5a)-(5c).

Location Schema (X is at Y)

(5a) \( ní kpó=à gbàŋ-dɔ́ rɛ́ \)  
3PL all=COP house-door.DEF Pp  
‘All of them are at the entry door.’

Reconstructed Progressive

(5b) \( *ní kpó=à kù=o rɛ́ \)  
3PL all=COP talk=DEF Pp  
‘All of them are at talking.’

Progressive / Habitual

(5c) \( ní kpó=à kù-ɛ́ \)  
3PL all=COP talk-IPFV  
‘All of them are talking / talk.’

The Jeli language has opted for a uniform surface pattern for locational, class-membership and (historically) intransitive event sentences by way of locational takeover. The locational strategy prevails over the equational strategy as regards the encoding of class-membership predicates. Or, to put it in Stassen’s terms (1997: 112), for the Jeli language, the ‘desire’ to create a uniform surface pattern for all intransitive sentences was stronger than the ‘desire’ to mirror the close semantic relation between equational predicates and class-membership predicates formally by taking over the equational strategy.

4. Polysemy pattern of the two postpositions involved in marking class-membership

As noted above, two spatial postpositions are involved in marking class-membership predicates. As the spatial postpositions in Central Mande in general (cf. Tröbs 1998, 1999), these two postpositions express mainly topological relations (proximity, contact, containment) but are neutral with respect to the differentiation of
Source and Goal. It should thus be kept in mind that directional meaning components are only lexicalized in verbs (so called directional or orientation verbs), but not in postpositions. Following Lüpke (2007: 553-561), while describing semantic extensions of the two spatial postpositions we will specify which semantic path relation, namely Source or Goal (although indicated by the directional semantics of the verb), is marked by them.

4.1 Polysemy pattern of the spatial Pp \( kɔ́ŋ \)

\( kɔ́ŋ \) is a lexical postposition with the meaning ‘inside, in’. It goes back to the relational noun meaning ‘stomach’. The distinction between relational noun and lexical postposition is not always easy to draw. When used as a lexical Pp, \( kɔ́ŋ \) neither accepts nominal determiners such as the definite marker -o nor modifiers.\(^6\)

\[ (6) \quad nà kɔ́ŋ=à nà dibë-ne \]

1SG stomach=IPFV 1SG hurt-IPFV

‘My stomach hurts (me).’

In its original spatial meaning the Pp \( kɔ́ŋ \) indicates a specific topological or Ground relation, i.e., insideness or containment, as shown in example (7).

PRIMARILY INESSIVE SEMANTICS WITH CONCRETE NOUNS

\[ (7) \quad i më dà (dò+à) yì=ɔ kɔ́ŋ… \]

3SG REL TOP.COP water=DEF Pp

‘what is in (inside) the water…’

The extensions of \( kɔ́ŋ \) include the encoding of inessive semantics with abstract nouns as shown in (8) and (9), location in a place with non-motion verbs (10), movement towards a Goal with allative verbs (11) and movement away from a Source with ablative verbs (12).

INESSIVE SEMANTICS WITH ABSTRACT NOUNS

\[ (8) \quad nà mì kèlë tìga jèli-kpuraɔ kɔ́ŋ? \]

3PL.IPFV what call.IPFV peanut Jeli-language.DEF Pp

‘How do they say ‘peanut’ in the Jeli language?’

\[ (9) \quad yë pìë jòli kɔ́ŋ \]

2SG.COP year how many Pp

‘How old are you?’ (lit. In how many years are you?)

\[^6\] It should be noted that nouns ending in a velar nasal such as \( kɔ́ŋ \) ‘stomach’ do not differ in the surface between definite (cf. \( kɔ́ŋ < kɔ́ŋ + o \)) and indefinite (cf. \( kɔ́ŋ \)) forms.

136
LOCATION IN A PLACE WITH NON-MOTION VERBS

(10) \( \begin{array}{cccccc}
1SG & PFV & CR & \text{hoe.DEF} & \text{leave-PFV} & \text{bush.DEF} & \text{Pp} \\
\end{array} \)
\( nà \ Ø \ wà \ kpòò \ kà-Ø \ bògɔ \ kòŋ \)
‘I have left the hoe in the bush.’

MOVEMENT TOWARDS A GOAL WITH ALLATIVE VERBS

(11) \( \begin{array}{cccccc}
3SG & PFV & \text{enter-PFV} & \text{bush.DEF} & \text{Pp} \\
\end{array} \)
\( i \ Ø \ sò-Ø \ bògɔ \ kòŋ \)
‘He went into the bush.’

MOVEMENT AWAY FROM A SOURCE WITH ABLATIVE VERBS

(12) \( \begin{array}{cccccc}
3SG & PFV & \text{come.from-PFV} & \text{bush.DEF} & \text{Pp} \\
\end{array} \)
\( i \ Ø \ wà \ bù-Ø \ bògɔ \ kòŋ \)
‘He has come from the bush.’

Furthermore, the Pp \( kòŋ \) is employed to mark abstract predicative possession by using the Location Schema (\( Y \) is located in \( X \)) encoding the possessor (\( X \)) as a locative complement and the possessee as the subject (cf. Heine 1997: 91f.). As shown in examples (13) and (14), the possessee is physical or psychological state.

ABSTRACT PREDICATIVE POSSESSION

(13) \( \begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{sleep.DEF} & \text{FOC.COP} & 1SG & \text{Pp} \\
\end{array} \)
\( nà \ ɛ̀ɔ \ sá \ nà \ kòŋ \)
‘I am tired.’ (lit. sleep is in me)

(14) \( \begin{array}{cccccc}
1SG & \text{antidote=COP} & 3SG & \text{Pp} \\
\end{array} \)
\( nà \ fàli=à \ i \ kòŋ \)
[Some girls said:] ‘He (the youngest brother) is mine.’ (lit. My antidote is in him)

In the uses studied so far, the Pp \( kòŋ \) functions as a Ground-denoting Pp in the expression of locative and possessive relations as well as motion events.

---

7 The distinction between perfective and imperfective aspect is basic to the Jeli TAM system. This opposition is marked by the combination of auxiliaries and inflectional verb stems. Thus, the imperfective aspect is encoded by the auxiliary (predicate marker) –\( à \) in combination with the imperfective verb form which is derived principally through suffixation (cf. Tröbs 1998: 84-89). In contrast to the imperfective aspect, the perfective aspect is unmarked twofold. First, it has no overt perfective auxiliary. Second, the perfective verb form is unmarked. In order to keep an explicit marking for these two non-overt elements, an overt “\( Ø \)” is included in the Jeli line, and “PFV” in the gloss line.

8 Current relevance (CR) indicates a specific deictic component of meaning, i.e., an action which took place in the past is relevant to the moment of speech. According to Bybee et al. (1994: 86), the specification of current relevance is lost, when an anterior (or perfect) changes into the past or perfective.
Moreover, the Pp kɔ́ŋ is also used to mark predicative arguments of verbs expressing quality and transformation, as shown in (15) and (16).

**PREDICATIVE ARGUMENTS OF VERBS EXPRESSING QUALITY (ESSIVE FUNCTION)**

(15) ná  à  tà=ni  ëjògo-ni  sí  kɔ́ŋ

3PL.IPFV  1PL  FOC=PL  see-IPFV  Dyula  FOC  Pp

‘They (people from Katala) consider us (the Jeli from Korhogo) to be Dyula.’

**PREDICATIVE ARGUMENTS OF VERBS EXPRESSING TRANSFORMATION (TRANSLATIVE FUNCTION)**

(16) ndà=ni  Ø  má-Ø  múgu=ni  kɔ́ŋ

3PL.FOC=PL  PFV  make-PFV  person=PL  Pp

‘They became human beings.’

As noted by Creissels (2008: 1), in contrast to canonical arguments of verbs, predicative arguments “do not denote an entity involved in the verbal event, but a property predicated of the referent of another argument of the same verb”. Typical predicative arguments appear in constructions with verbs such as ‘to become’, ‘to transform’, ‘to act as’, ‘to consider to be’, ‘to pretend to be’, etc. Thus, we observe a semantic affinity (i.e., property assignment) between predicative arguments and predicate nominals in identificational clauses.

Verbs whose argument structure includes a predicative argument lend themselves to a semantic decomposition including a predication of identification. (Creissels 2008: 1)

The identical encoding of class-membership predicate nominals and predicative arguments of quality and transformation verbs is thus an example of iconic motivation: similar form reflects similar meaning or conceptual structure (cf. Croft 1990: §7.3).

Finally, our corpus contains one example in which kɔ́ŋ marks the experiencer of the emotion verb kúdia ‘be pleasant’. Here, the Pp rɛ́ can be used alternatively to kɔ́ŋ.

**EXPERIENCER OF AN EMOTION VERB**

(17) süŋ  mì  dò  kúdia  kɔndi  mè  kɔ́ŋ / rɛ́

thing  REL  TOP  be.pleasant  bird  DEM  Pp

‘…which food this bird likes.’ (lit. which food is pleasant for the bird)

Let us now turn to the other Pp involved in marking class-membership.
4.2 Polysemy pattern of the Pp ré

Ré is a formal Pp with spatial (‘at’) and associative meaning (‘with’). The assumed original meaning of ré is adessive, i.e., it indicates proximity by stating that ‘the Figure is close to a spatial Ground’, as shown in (18).

ADESSIVE MEANING

(18) ní sàga-ra gbàŋ-dò ré
   3PL sit-Part.Res house-door.DEF Pp
   ‘They are sitting at the entry door.’

The metaphorical extensions of the adessive semantics of ré include the expression of temporal meaning (19), the marking of abstract predicative possession where the possessee (Y), i.e., a psychological state (20), a physical state (21) or another abstract concept (22), is located at the possessor (X), and the encoding of comparison (23). According to Heine (1997: 117), comparison is expressed by using a variant of the Location Schema, namely, ‘X is Y at Z’, which establishes a spatial relation between the comparee (X) and the standard (Z). The Location Schema can, thus, be paraphrased as “X has property Y, and if Z is placed in the same location as X, X has more than Z does” (Heine 1997: 114).

TEMPORAL MEANING (LOCATION IN TIME)

(19) yè sìne yè tàna-Ø tèlò mì ré
   3SG.IPFV look.for.IPFV 3SG.IPFV get.red-PFV time.DEF REL Pp
   ‘When (the time when) it (the mango fruit) is getting red…’

ABSTRACT PREDICATIVE POSSESSION (Y IS LOCATED AT X)

(20) yákili=à i ré
    intelligence=COP 3SG Pp
    ‘He is intelligent.’ (lit. Intelligence is at him)

(21) kùgu=à à kpó ré
    hunger=COP 1PL all Pp
    ‘All of us are hungry.’ (lit. Hunger is at all of us)

(22) nà rá cà=à i tà ré
    1SG POSS credit=COP 2SG FOC Pp
    ‘You owe me money.’ (lit. My credit is at you)

COMPARISON BY USING THE LOCATION SCHEMA (X IS Y AT Z)

(23) i ká gbò i bála-ná wòmè ré
    3SG POSS tree.DEF 3SG become.big-Part.Res DEM Pp
    ‘His tree is bigger than this.’
In addition to the uses mentioned so far, ré is employed to encode associative meaning (comprising comitative and instrumental). As argued by Croft (1991: 197), the comitative function is related to the adessive function “by the COMITATIVE-PROXIMITY metaphor, in which a spatial expression of co-location such as ‘near’ or ‘among’ develops into a comitative form”. A similar argumentation is found in Anderson (1971: 4), who argues that the comitative function has an underlying locative structure since it indicates typically “the person along with whom”. Moreover, the conflation of comitative and instrumental meanings into one marker is widely attested in the languages of the world (Croft 1991, Heine et al. 1991). The polysemy between comitative and instrumental markers is motivated by the COMPANION-INSTRUMENT metaphor that states that ACCOMPANIMENT also indicates INSTRUMENTALITY (cf. Lakoff/Johnson 1980: 134f.). The comitative function is exemplified in (24)-(27). Examples (28) and (29) illustrate the instrumental function.

**COMITATIVE FUNCTION**

(24) Mori=à jí-ɛ́ à ré súma
Mori=IPFV spend.the.night-IPFV 1PL Pp tomorrow
‘Mori will spend the night at our place/with us [chez nous] tomorrow.’

(25) sá ní yì=ó ré!
come and water=DEF Pp
‘Bring water!’ (lit. come with water)

(26) fì sì Ø wà tà’a-Ø wà ní i ré?
today FOC PFV CR go.away-PFV INT and 2SG Pp
‘Have you gone mad today?’ [lit. today has gone away with you?]

(27) yè kù-ɛ́ ní Soma ré
3SG.IPFV talk-IPFV and Soma Pp
‘He is speaking with/to (talking with/to) Soma.’

**INSTRUMENTAL FUNCTION**

(28) nà Ø nà wù=ó yiri-Ø (nì) jèse=ó ré
1SG PFV 1SG head=DEF bind-PFV (and) yarn=DEF Pp
‘I tied up my hair with a yarn.’

---

9 Note that comitative semantics is usually expressed by the coordinating conjunction ní [N1 ní N2] which assigns equal rank to the elements it connects (cf. Mori ní Soma wà cí ‘Mori and Soma have arrived’).
Polysemy patterns of two postpositions in Jeli

(29) \( wáli=\circ =\ddot{a} \quad dègα \acute{g} \quad kάnκ\omega \quad nι \quad kάlαn \quad n\ddot{e} \)

boy=DEF=COP grave Pp and bow Pp

‘The boy is on the grave with a bow.’

Moreover, causation can be perceived as place with the Pp \( r\acute{e} \). In example (30), the postpositional phrase \( kùgo \ r\acute{e} \) is viewed as cause “triggering” the verbal action (cf. Radden 1985: 182).

“TRIGGERING CAUSE”

(30) \( \ddot{d}i=\circ =nι \quad d\acute{a} \quad dî-\varepsilon \quad n\ddot{a} \quad n\ddot{o} \quad kùgo \ r\acute{e} \)

child=DEF=PL TOP.IPFV cry-IPFV 1SG Pp hunger.DEF Pp

‘The children are crying for me (because) of hunger.’

According to its broad semantics, i.e., spatial, comitative, instrumental and cause, \( r\acute{e} \) is used to mark participants of certain intransitive verbs as well as the third participant of three-place verbs.\(^{10}\) Depending on the directional semantics of the intransitive verb governing \( r\acute{e} \), \( r\acute{e} \) functions to mark the Goal or Source of the verb. In (31), \( r\acute{e} \) serves to encode the Goal, in (32) it marks the Source.

GOAL WITH INTRANSITIVE DIRECTIONAL VERBS

(31) \( i \quad \emptyset \quad wà \quad màgà-\emptyset \quad sìbe=\circ \quad r\acute{e} \)

3SG PFV CR touch-PFV meat=DEF Pp

‘He has touched the meat.’

SOURCE WITH INTRANSITIVE DIRECTIONAL VERBS

(32) \( i \quad \emptyset \quad wà \quad dàgà-\emptyset \quad à \quad r\acute{e} \)

3SG PFV CR slip.away-PFV 1PL Pp

‘It (the Jeli language) has slipped away (from us).’ [it has become disused]

The above mentioned concept of “triggering cause” (Radden 1985: 182) can be held responsible for the use of \( r\acute{e} \) with emotion verbs such as ‘to dream about’, as shown in example (33).

“TRIGGERING CAUSE” WITH INTRANSITIVE REACTIONAL OR EMOTION VERBS

(33) \( nι \quad i \quad sà \quad kýro \quad mà-\emptyset \quad yì=\circ \quad r\acute{e} \)

CONJ 2SG COND dream.DEF do-PFV water=DEF Pp

‘If you dream about water…’

Furthermore, \( r\acute{e} \) is used to mark the third participant of transfer verbs. In (34) \( r\acute{e} \) serves to encode the animate Goal or Receiver of a verb of change of possession, in

\(^{10}\) For a thorough semantic analysis of postpositions marking the third participant of three-place verbs in Yalunka, cf. Lüpke (2007: Section 3).
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(35) ré is used to indicate the ‘information asked for’ in a verb of transfer of information.

THIRD PARTICIPANT OF TRANSFER VERBS (VERBS OF CHANGE OF POSSESSION)

(34) nà Ø wà wáro lè-Ø nà sëŋ ré
     1SG PFV CR money.DEF give-PFV 1SG father Pp
     ‘I have given [as a present] money to my father.’

THIRD PARTICIPANT OF TRANSFER VERBS (VERBS OF CHANGE OF INFORMATION)

(35) má Ø wà í tà nìgi-Ø kíli=o ré?
     who PFV CR 2SG FOC ask-PFV way=DEF Pp
     ‘Who has asked you for the way?’

As noted by several authors (cf. Croft 1990: 166, Lüpke 2007: 557; 561), there is a clear metaphorical relationship between the change in location and the transfer of possession of an object. In this metaphorical extension, changes of possession are treated like changes in location. In a further extension, transfers of information are conceived as transfers of possession of an object. To put it in Lüpke’s (2007: 557) terms, what events of change of location, events of change of possession, and events of change of information have in common is that all three types “entail at least a metaphorical transfer of an entity from a Source to a Goal, applying a metaphor I will henceforth label ‘transfer-metaphor’”. A still more abstract extension of the “transfer metaphor” can be held responsible for the use of ré to mark the animate Goal or malefactive with the generic verb má ‘to do’, as shown in (36).

THIRD PARTICIPANT OF TRANSFER VERBS (ABSTRACT EXTENSION OF THE “TRANSFER METAPHOR”) 

(36) yè sínę yè mì má-Ø nà ré?
     3SG.IPFV look.for.IPFV 3SG.IPFV what do-PFV 1SG Pp
     ‘What can he (the lion) do to (against) me (the hare)?’

Finally, according to its associative meaning, ré can also be used to mark the transferred object in a change of possession verb. In this so called “Theme-Instrument Strategy” (Lüpke 2005: 217) the object of transfer is treated as an Instrument and the Goal or target of transfer is associated with the Theme. Thus, in example (37), ré is employed to indicate the gift or the good of transfer by treating it as an instrument.

TRANSFERRED OBJECT IN A CHANGE OF POSSESSION VERB

(37) à Ø i kúc-Ø wári ré té
     1PL PFV 3SG present-PFV money Pp NEG
     ‘We did not present him with money.’
## 5. Summary

Our findings on the different polysemy pattern of the Pp *kóŋ* and *rê* as well as the related explanatory parameters are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pp</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Motivational basis for extensions based on cross-linguistically attested conceptual transfer patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>kóŋ</em></td>
<td>Locative relations; i.e., denotes specific Ground relations (insideness) in the expression of location, possession, motion events (with directional verbs: Source/Goal)</td>
<td>Abstract predicative possession is expressed with the Location Schema (Y is located in X) (Heine 1997: 91f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class-membership</td>
<td>Class-membership predicate nominals are “a subtype of locative” (Anderson 1971: 207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicative arguments of verbs expressing quality and transformation (essive/translative)</td>
<td>Semantic affinity between class-membership predicate nominal and predicative arguments (= iconic motivation, cf. Creissels 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pp</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Motivational basis for extensions based on cross-linguistically attested conceptual transfer patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>rê</em></td>
<td>Locative relations; i.e., denotes specific Ground relations (proximity) in the expression of location and possession as well as in events of change of location (with directional verbs: Source and Goal)</td>
<td>Abstract predicative possession is expressed with the Location Schema (Y is located at X) (Heine 1997: 91f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class-membership</td>
<td>Class-membership predicate nominals are “a subtype of locative” (Anderson 1971: 207); “The Economy Principle of Predicate Encoding” (Stassen 1997: 112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Comparison is expressed with the Location Schema (X is Y at Z) (Heine 1997: 117)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comitative, Instrument

“Triggering cause”

Third participant with transfer verbs

| Comitative-PROXIMITY metaphor (Croft 1991: 197); COMPANION-INSTRUMENT metaphor (Lakoff & Johnson 1980: 134) |
| Causation viewed as a place “triggering” the verbal action (Radden 1985: 182) |
| Changes of possession and information are treated like changes of location (Croft 1990: 166; Lüpke 2007) |

The treatment of the polysemy pattern of the two postpositions used to encode class-membership predicates in Jeli was based on the localist hypothesis, according to which spatial concepts are more basic than other concepts and therefore serve as source pattern for the conceptualization of non-spatial concepts (Anderson 1971, Lakoff & Johnson 1980, Lyons 1977).

Since these two “identificational” postpositions clearly have a spatial component (insideness, proximity), we have argued that their “identificational meaning” is derived from their spatial meaning and treated class-membership predicate nominals as “a subtype of locative” (Anderson 1971: 207). Moreover, by using several common conceptual metaphors we have shown that the basic concepts “space” and “change-in-space” served as templates for the development of a set of other postpositional functions such as the encoding of abstract predicative possession, comparison, comitative, instrumental, “triggering cause”, and third participants with transfer verbs.

In contrast to the lexical Pp ɔ́ŋ which still preserves its concrete spatial (inessive) meaning, the formal Pp rɛ́ has a highly general semantics and is used besides its adessive semantics to cover all kinds of situations entailing metaphorical transfer.

Finally, neither of the two postpositions can be used to mark beneficiaries (for, to somebody’s benefit) or oblique agents.

**Abbreviations**

| COND  | conditional  | NEG | negation        |
| CONJ  | conjunction  | Part.Res | resultative participle |
| COP   | copula       | PFV | perfective      |
| CR    | current relevance | PL | plural         |
| DEF   | definite marker | POSS | possessive marker |
| DEM   | demonstrative | Pp  | postposition   |
| FOC   | focus particle | REL | relative marker |
| IPFV  | imperfective | SG  | singular       |
| INT   | interrogative | TOP | topic marker   |
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Summary

Polysemy patterns of two postpositions marking class-membership and property assignment in Jeli (Central Mande)

This paper examines the polysemy patterns of two postpositions marking class-membership and property assignment in Jeli, a Central Mande language, in terms of metaphorical extensions and iconic motivation. It thus aims at broadening the empirical base for a typology of “identificational” postpositions in the Mande languages.
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